

Sea Basin Checkpoints - Lot 4

"Black Sea"



NKUA/AM&WFG

WP2: Challenge 1 - Wind farm siting

TPD2: Assessment of the available database through a detailed statistical analysis

National & Kapodistrian University of Athens,

School of Physics,

Atmospheric Modeling & Weather Forecasting Group

Table of Contents

Participating Institutes	3
Executive Summary	4
The database	5
Characteristics-Parameters of interest	6
Statistical Analysis	7
Statistical Analysis of Wind Power (80m)	8
Statistical Analysis of Wind Speed (10m)	13
Statistical Analysis of Wind Speed (80m)	18
Suitability Index based on Environmental Resources	23
Main conclusions	26
References	28

Participating Institutes

IO-BAS: Institute of Oceanology – Bulgaria

NIMRD: National Institute for Marine Research and Development "Grigore Antipa" - Romania

CMCC: Euro-Mediterranean Center for Climate Change - Italy

CLS - Collecte Localisation Satellites - France

NKUA – National and Kapodistrian University of Athens – Greece

ORION - Joint Research and Development Centre (ORION) – Cyprus

Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski" (USOF) - Bulgaria

Plymouth University (UPL) - United Kingdom

Executive Summary

One of the main objectives of the Work Package 2 (Windfarm Siting) is the determination of the suitability of sites for wind

Ukraine Roštov-na-Donu Russia Sevastopol Sevastopol Novorossijsk Constanta Bulga ria Virna Ukraine Roštov-na-Donu Russia Georgia Bulga ria Virna Vi

farm development in specific predefined Black Sea areas

The target area: The Black Sea coastline and in particular:

The borders between Bulgarian and Romanian waters The borders between Turkish and Bulgarian waters The borders between Turkish and Georgian waters

Towards this direction a high resolution database has been developed, based on the outcomes of the FP7 MARINA Platform project (<u>http://www.marina-platform.info/</u>). The data base covers a time period of 10 years (2001 – 2010) containing hourly data and covering a wide range of atmospheric, wave and tidal information

The database

The data base covers a time period of 10 years (2001 – 2010) containing hourly data and covering a wide range of atmospheric, wave and tidal information.

State of the art numerical modeling systems have been used for the simulation of the above data. Namely, the atmospheric modelling system SKIRON (Kallos G. et al 1997, Spyrou C. et al, 2010) and the 3rd generation spectral model WAM (Bidlot J eta al, 2003, Komen G. et al, 1994, WAMDIG, 1988) CY33R1 (ECMWF) have been utilized.

The configuration of the two models:

SKIRON

Horizontal Resolution 0.05° x 0.05°
Time-step 15 seconds
45 vertical levels up to 50 hPa
Initial and boundary conditions:
High-resolution reanalysis (15 x 15 Km)
Output at: {10, 40, 80, 120, 180} m a.s.l.
Full set of meteorological variables



The set up and the domains of the atmospheric model Skiron

Domain (20–75°N, 50°W–30°E) Resolution: 0.05° x 0.05° Number of frequencies: 25 Minimum frequency: 0.055 Hz Number of directions: 24 Grid points: 1601 x 1101 Spectral output at selected locations

WAM



The set up and the domains of the wave model WAM

CHARACTERISTICS/PARAMETERS OF INTEREST

The parameters analyzed in this report for spotting advantageous areas for wind farms development are:

-- Wind power 80 m

-- Wind speed at 10 m

-- Wind Speed at 80 m

Statistical Analysis

A detailed statistical analysis of the wind-wave-energy information will be attempted by utilizing statistical measures that

provide qualitative information for energy applications:

 $Mean = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X(i)$

Measuring the expected values



Index of variation: Measuring the variation as a percentage of the mean value



a measure of the asymmetry of the probability distribution

$$Kurtosis = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X(i) - \mu)^{4}}{\sigma^{4}}$$

which measures the "peakedness" of the probability distribution and the impact of possible extreme values

Wind power 80 m.

Statistics

Wind power mean (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



Wind power index of variation (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



Wind power skewness (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



Wind power kurtosis (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



12

Wind speed, 10m.

Statistics

Mean wind speed (2001-2010 / 10 m.)



Wind speed index of variation (2001-2010 / 10 m.)



Wind speed skewness (2001-2010 / 10 m.)



Wind speed kurtosis (2001-2010 / 10 m.)



Wind speed, 80m.

Statistics

Mean wind speed (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



Wind speed index of variation (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



28°0'0"E

Wind speed skewness (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



Wind speed kurtosis (2001-2010 / 80 m.)



SUITABILITY INDEX FOR WIND FARM SITTING BASED ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

SUITABILITY INDEX for WIND FARM SITTING

Following the categorization depicted in the table, the area of interest was characterized upon its suitability based on wind speed.

Ē

Mean wind speed (m/s)	Wind speed index of variation	Category	Site availability
0 - 3	-	5	Very low
3 - 3.25	>70%	5	Very low
3 - 3.25	<70%	4	Low
3.25 - 5	-	4	Low
5 - 5.25	>70%	4	Low
5 - 5.25	<70%	3	Medium
5.25 - 7	-	3	Medium
7 - 7.25	>70%	3	Medium
7 - 7.25	<70%	2	High
7.25 - 9	-	2	High
9 - 9.25	>70%	2	High
9 - 9.25	<70%	1	Very High
9.25	-	1	Very High



Main Conclusions for wind power resources

- Increased mean wind power values at 80 m height over offshore areas
- The Western region (Romania-Bulgaria borders) is the more active one with wind power potential values reaching
 450 500 W/m²
- Associated with relevantly low variability in West Black Sea over and more variable over the Eastern region of Turkish-Georgian sea areas.
- The Western areas are also less skewed while nearshore the wind data are left skewed in the eastern Black Sea region.
- The impact of extreme values is elevated over the Turkish-Georgian border's sea area as indicated by the increased values of kurtosis.

Main Conclusions for wind speed at 10 & 80 m height

- Low mean wind speed values are recorded in both areas with less than 4m/sec at 10 m height and less than 10m/sec at 80 m
- The western area seems more promising having:
 - Relevantly elevated mean values
 - Low variability which increases when reaching near shore areas
 - Symmetric wind speed data with no obvious impact from extreme values

References

Bidlot J.-R. 2012: Present status of wave forecasting at ECMWF. Proceedings from the ECMWF Workshop on Ocean Waves, 25-27 June 2012. ECMWF, Reading, United Kingdom.

Emmanouil G., Galanis G. and Kallos G., Combination of statistical Kalman filters and data assimilation for improving ocean waves analysis and forecasting, Ocean Modelling, 59–60 (2012), 11–23.

Galanis G., Chu P.C. Kallos G., Statistical post processes for the improvement of the results of numerical wave prediction models. A combination of Kolmogorov-Zurbenko and Kalman filters, Journal of Operational Oceanography, 4 (1), 2011, 23-31.

Kalogeri C., G. Galanis, C. Spyrou, D. Diamantis, F. Baladima, M. Koukoula and G. Kallos, 2017, Assessing the European offshore wind and wave energy resource for combined exploitation, Renewable Energy, Volume 101, pp. 244-264.

Patlakas P., G. Galanis, D. Diamantis, G. Kallos, Low wind speed events: persistence and frequency, Wind Energy, 20 (6) pp. 1033 - 1047.

Janssen P (2000), ECMWF wave modeling and satellite altimeter wave data. In D. Halpern (Ed.), Satellites, Oceanography and Society, pp. 35–36, Elsevier.

Kallos G (1997), The Regional weather forecasting system SKIRON. Proceedings, Symposium on Regional Weather Prediction on Parallel Computer Environments, 15-17 October 1997, Athens, Greece, 9 pp.

Kallos, G., A. Papadopoulos, P. Katsafados, and S. Nickovic, Trans-Atlantic Saharan dust transport: Model simulation and results, J. Geophysical Research, 2005(111).

Kalnay E (2002), Atmospheric Modeling, Data Assimilation and Predictability. Cambridge University Press, 341.

Louka P., Galanis G., Siebert N., Kariniotakis G., Katsafados P., Pytharoulis I., Kallos G., Improvements in wind speed forecasts for wind power prediction purposes using Kalman filtering, Journal of Wind Engineering & Industrial Aerodynamics 96 (2008), pp. 2348-2362.

Mori N. and P.A.E.M. Janssen (2006), On kurtosis and occurrence probability of freak waves. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 36, 1471-1483.

Pontes M.T. (1998), Assessing the European Wave Energy Resource, Transactions of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 120, 226-231.

Spyrou C., Mitsakou C., Kallos G., Louka P., Vlastou G., An improved limited area model for describing the dust cycle in the atmosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 115(D17), 2010.

Stathopoulos C, Kaperoni A, Galanis G, Kallos G, 2013: Wind power prediction based on numerical and statistical models. Journal. of Wind Energy & Industrial Aerodynamics. 112 (2013) 25-38.

WAMDIG, The WAM-Development and Implementation Group: Hasselmann S, Hasselmann K, Bauer E, Bertotti L, Cardone CV, Ewing JA, Greenwood JA, Guillaume A, Janssen P, Komen G, Lionello P, Reistad M, Zambresky L (1988), The WAM Model - a third generation ocean wave prediction model, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 18 (12), 1775–1810.